

101-07-04

102.174

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

M. Dibrino

LE GAL et al

Group: 1644

Serial No.: 09/673,166 Filed: October 6, 2000

For: LIPOPEPTIDE...VACCINATION

475 Park Avenue South New York, N.Y. 10016 January 6, 2004

RESPONSE "Express Mail" mailing label No.

above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Box 1450, Alexandria, WA 22313-1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Sir:

٨,

Responsive to the office action of November 7, 2003, reconsideration of this application is requested in view of the remarks presented herein.

The claims in the application are claims 23 to 42, all other claims having been cancelled.

The Examiner has required restriction between claims 23 to 40 drawn to a lipopeptide comprising at least one auxiliary T-epitope, at least one CTL-epitope and at least one lipid moiety and claims 41 and 42 drawn to a method of inducing a specific immune response using the lipopeptide of group I. The Examiner also went on to require an election of a single disclosed species of lipopeptide if group I is elected or a single disclosed species of a specific lipopeptide if group II was elected.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's restriction requirement since

under the rules of PCT Rule 13, it is believed that they have the same or corresponding

special technical features to distinguish over the prior art since the epitope PADRE linked

to a CTL-epitope and lipid is not taught by the prior art as alleged by the Examiner and

therefore, there is a common ground.

However, to be completely responsive to the office action, Applicants elect with

traverse claims 23 to 40 of group I. With respect to the election of species, Applicants

elect with traverse a lipopeptide consisting of dipalmitoyl lysyl as the lipid moiety and as

the auxiliary T-epitope, TT830-843. As a spacer RGR and as the CPL epitiope,

Applicants elect HIV NEF 68-82 multi-epitope peptide. Such a lipopeptide is disclosed

through the TT-NEF lipopeptide of section A of Example 5 and in the second paragraph

on page 18, section B, Example 5 and the third and fourth paragraphs of page 23, Table

8.

Ä

Since the first office action was merely a restriction requirement, Applicants

request a prompt examination on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

Muserlian, Lucas and Mercanti

Charles A. Muserlian, 19,683

Attorney for Applicants

Tel. # (212) 661-8000

CAM:ds Enclosures

2